Department of Economics, University of Warwick Mathematics for Economics Lecture 9: Probability

Andrés Carvajal, with revisions by Peter Hammond

September, 2011; revised 2014

University of Warwick, EC9A0 Maths for Economists

Peter J. Hammond

Outline

Measures and Integrals Measurable Spaces

Measure Spaces

Lebesgue Integration

Kolmogorov's Definition of Probability

Cumulative Distribution and Density Functions

Expected Values

Limit Theorems

University of Warwick, EC9A0 Maths for Economists

Peter J. Hammond

Power Sets

Fix an abstract set $S \neq \emptyset$.

In case it is finite, its cardinality, denoted by #S, is the number of distinct elements of S.

The power set of S is the family $\mathcal{P}(S) := \{T \mid T \subseteq S\}$ of all subsets of S.

Sometimes the power set is denoted by 2^S , perhaps for two reasons.

1. One can define the bijection

$$\mathcal{P}(S) \ni T \mapsto f(T) \in \{0,1\}^S := \{(x_s)_{s \in S} \mid \forall s \in S : x_s \in \{0,1\}\}$$

by $f(T)_s = 1_T(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \in T \\ 0 & \text{if } s \notin T \end{cases}$
2. So $\#\mathcal{P}(S) = 2^{\#S}$.

Boolean Algebras, Sigma-Algebras, and Measurable Spaces

- The family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a Boolean algebra on S just in case
 - 1. $\emptyset \in \Sigma$;
 - 2. $A \in \Sigma$ implies $S \setminus A \in \Sigma$;
 - 3. if A, B lie in \mathcal{A} , then $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$.

The family $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a σ -algebra just in case it is a Boolean algebra with the stronger property:

if $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a countably infinite family of sets in Σ , then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \Sigma$.

The pair (S, Σ) is a measurable space just in case Σ is a σ -algebra.

Exercise on Boolean Algebras and Sigma-Algebras

Exercise

- 1. Let A be a Boolean algebra on S. Prove that if $A, B \in A$, then $A \cap B \in A$.
- Let Σ be a Boolean algebra on S.
 Prove that if (A_n)_{n=1}[∞] is a countably infinite family of sets in Σ, then ∩_{n=1}[∞] A_n ∈ Σ.

Hint

1. For part 1, use de Morgan's law

$$S \setminus (A \cap B) = (S \setminus A) \cup (S \setminus B)$$

2. For part 2, use the infinite extension of de Morgan's law.

Generating a Sigma-Algebra

Theorem

Let $\{\Sigma_i \mid i \in I\}$ be any indexed family of σ -algebras.

Then the intersection $\Sigma^{\cap} := \bigcap_{i \in I} \Sigma_i$ is also a σ -algebra.

Proof left as an exercise.

Let X be a space, and $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^X$ any family of subsets. Since 2^X is obviously a σ -algebra, there exists a non-empty set $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})$ of σ -algebras that include \mathcal{F} . Let $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ denote the intersection $\cap \{\Sigma \mid \Sigma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F})\}$; it is the smallest σ -algebra that includes \mathcal{F} .

Exercise

Let X be any uncountably infinite set, and let $\mathcal{F} := \{\{x\} \mid x \in X\}$ denote the family of all singleton subsets of X.

Show that $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ consists of all subsets of X that are either countable, or co-countable (i.e., have a countable complement).

lopological Spaces

Given a set X, a topology \mathcal{T} on X is a family of open subsets $U \subseteq X$ satisfying:

- 1. $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$ and $X \in \mathcal{T}$;
- 2. if $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$, then $U \cap V \in \mathcal{T}$;
- 3. if $\{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is any family of open sets in \mathcal{T} , then the union $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}$.

Thus, finite intersections and arbitrary unions of open sets are open.

A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is any set X together with a topology \mathcal{T} that consists of all the open subsets of X.

The Metric Topology

Let (X, d) be any metric space. The open ball of radius r centred at x is the set

$$B_r(x) := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < r\}$$

The metric topology \mathcal{T}_d of (X, d) is the smallest topology that includes the entire family $\{B_r(x) \mid x \in X \& r > 0\}$ of all open balls in X.

Borel Sigma-Algebra

Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be any topological space.

Its Borel σ -algebra is defined as $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$

— i.e., the smallest σ -algebra containing every open set of X.

Suppose the topological space is a metric space (X, d) with its metric topology \mathcal{T}_d .

Then the Borel σ -algebra is generated by all the open balls $B_r(x) := \{x' \in X \mid d(x, x') < r\}$ in X. For the case of the real line when $X = \mathbb{R}$,

its Borel σ -algebra is generated by all the open intervals of \mathbb{R} .

Outline

Measures and Integrals Measurable Spaces Measure Spaces

Lebesgue Integration

Kolmogorov's Definition of Probability

Cumulative Distribution and Density Functions

Expected Values

Limit Theorems

University of Warwick, EC9A0 Maths for Economists

Peter J. Hammond

Finitely Additive Set Functions

Let
$$\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty + \infty\} = [-\infty, +\infty]$$

denote the extended real line which, at each end, has an endpoint added at infinity.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ := \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\} = [0, +\infty]$ be the non-negative part of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Any family \mathcal{F} of subsets $A \subseteq X$ is said to be pairwise disjoint just in case $A \cap B = \emptyset$ whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ with $A \neq B$.

A mapping $\mu: \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be additive or finitely additive just in case, for any pair $\{A, B\}$ of disjoint sets in Σ , one has $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$;

For any finite collection $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^k$ of pairwise disjoint sets in Σ , note how finite additivity implies that

$$\mu\left(\cup_{n=1}^{k}A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{k}\mu(A_{n})$$

Measure as a Countably Additive Set Functions

Let (X, Σ) be a measurable space.

A set function $\mu : \Sigma \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ is said to be σ -additive or countably additive just in case, for any countable collection $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of pairwise disjoint sets in Σ , one has

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu(A_n)$$

A measure on a measurable space (X, Σ) is a countably additive set function $\mu : \Sigma \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ satisfying the requirement that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.

Measure Space

A measure space is a triple (X, Σ, μ) where

- 1. Σ is a σ -algebra on X;
- 2. μ is a measure on (X, Σ) .

Example

A prominent example of a measure space is $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, \ell)$ where:

- 1. \mathcal{B} is the Borel σ -algebra induced by the open sets of the real line \mathbb{R} ;
- 2. the measure $\ell(J)$ of any interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ is its length, defined by $\ell([a, b]) = \ell([a, b)) = \ell((a, b]) = \ell((a, b)) = b - a;$
- 3. ℓ is extended to all of \mathcal{B} to satisfy countable additivity (it can be shown that this extension is unique).

Lebesgue Measurable Subsets of the Real Line

A set $N \subset \mathbb{R}$ is null just in case there exists a Borel subset $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\ell(B) = 0$ such that $N \subset B$.

This is possible for some non-Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} .

Let \mathcal{N} denote the family of null subsets of \mathbb{R} .

These null sets can be used to generate the Lebesgue σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets, which is $\sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N})$.

The symmetric difference of any two sets S and B is defined as the set

$$S \triangle B := (S \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus S) = (S \cup B) \setminus (S \cap B)$$

of elements s that belong to one of the two sets, but not to both. One can show that $S \in \sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N})$ if and only if there exists a Borel set $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $S \triangle B \in \mathcal{N}$ — i.e., S differs from a Borel set only by a null set.

The Lebesgue Real Line

There is a well-defined function $\lambda : \sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}) \to \overline{R}_+$ that satisfies $\lambda(S) := \ell(B)$ whenever $S \triangle B \in \mathcal{N}$.

Moreover, one can prove that the function $S \mapsto \lambda(S)$ is countably additive. This makes λ a measure, called the Lebesgue measure. The associated measure space $(\mathbb{R}, \sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{N}), \lambda)$ is called the Lebesgue real line.

Outline

Measures and Integrals Measurable Spaces Measure Spaces

Lebesgue Integration

Kolmogorov's Definition of Probability

Cumulative Distribution and Density Functions

Expected Values

Limit Theorems

University of Warwick, EC9A0 Maths for Economists

Peter J. Hammond

Simple Functions

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space.

Given any set $E \in \Sigma$, the indicator function of E is defined by

$$X
i x \mapsto 1_E(x) := egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } x \in E \ 0 & ext{if } x
otin E \end{cases}$$

The finite or countably infinite collection $\{E_k | k \in K\}$ of pairwise disjoint sets $E_k \in \Sigma$ is a partition of X just in case $\bigcup_{k \in K} E_k = X$. The function $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is simple just in case there exist a partition $\{E_k | k \in K\}$ of X together with a corresponding collection $(a_k)_{k \in K}$ of real constants such that $f(x) = \sum_{k \in K} a_k \mathbb{1}_{E_k}(x)$. Note that the range $\{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists x : y = f(x) \text{ of this step function} \}$ is the precisely the set $\{a_k | k \in K\}$ of real constants. Let $\mathcal{F}(X, \Sigma)$ denote the set of all simple functions on the measurable space (X, Σ) ; in fact it is a real vector space. University of Warwick, EC9A0 Maths for Economists Peter J. Hammond 17 of 53

Integrating Simple Functions

Given a function $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, whenever possible we want to define the integral $\int_X f(x) d\mu = \int_X f(x) \mu(dx)$. The simple function $f(x) = \sum_{k \in K} a_k 1_{E_k}(x)$ is μ -integrable just in case one has $\sum_{k \in K} |a_k| \mu(E_k) < +\infty$. In particular, when K is infinite, this requires the infinite series $\sum_{k \in K} a_k \mu(E_k)$ to be absolutely convergent. Then we can define the integral $\int_X f(x) \mu(dx)$ of the μ -integrable simple function $f(x) = \sum_{k \in K} a_k 1_{E_k}(x)$ as the real number $\sum_{k \in K} a_k \mu(E_k)$.

Upper and Lower Bounds

Given the measure space (X, Σ, μ) , the function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable just in case, for every Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$, its inverse image satisfies

$$f^{-1}(B) := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\} \in \Sigma$$

Note that we have defined a simple function to be measurable. Given any function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, define the two sets

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{F}^*(f;X,\Sigma) &:= & \{f^* \in \mathcal{F}(f;X,\Sigma) \mid \forall x \in X : f^*(x) \geq f(x)\} \\ \mathcal{F}_*(f;X,\Sigma) &:= & \{f_* \in \mathcal{F}(f;X,\Sigma) \mid \forall x \in X : f_*(x) \leq f(x)\} \end{array}$$

of simple functions that are respectively upper or lower bounds for the function f.

Upper and Lower Integrals

The integral $\int_X f^*(x) \mu(dx)$ of each simple function $f^* \in \mathcal{F}^*(f; X, \Sigma)$, is an over-estimate of the true integral of f.

But the integral
$$\int_X f_*(x) \mu(dx)$$

of each simple function $f_* \in \mathcal{F}_*(f; X, \Sigma)$,
is an under-estimate of the true integral of f

Define the upper integral and lower integral of f as, respectively

$$\begin{array}{lll} I^{*}(f) &:= & \inf_{f^{*} \in \mathcal{F}^{*}(f; X, \Sigma)} \int_{X} f^{*}(x) \, \mu(dx) \\ \text{and} & I_{*}(f) &:= & \sup_{f_{*} \in \mathcal{F}_{*}(f; X, \Sigma)} \int_{X} f_{*}(x) \, \mu(dx) \end{array}$$

Of course, in case f is itself a simple function, one has $I^*(f) = I_*(f) = \int_X f(x) \mu(dx)$.

Integration

Definition

The function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is integrable just in case it is measurable and also the upper integral $I^*(|f|)$ of the function $x \mapsto |f(x)|$ is defined (because |f| is bounded above by an integrable simple function).

Theorem

If the function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is integrable, then its upper and lower integrals $I^*(f)$ and $I_*(f)$ are equal. So if $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is integrable, then we can define its integral $\int_X f(x) \mu(dx)$ as the common value of $I^*(f)$ and $I_*(f)$.

Outline

Measures and Integrals Measurable Spaces Measure Spaces

Lebesgue Integration

Kolmogorov's Definition of Probability

Cumulative Distribution and Density Functions

Expected Values

Limit Theorems

Probability Measure and Probability Space

Fix a measurable space (S, Σ) , where S is a set of unknown states of the world.

Then Σ is a σ -algebra of unknown events.

A probability measure on (S, Σ) is a measure $\mathbb{P} : \Sigma \to \overline{R}_+$ satisfying the requirement that $\mathbb{P}(S) = 1$.

Countable additivity implies that $\mathbb{P}(E) + \mathbb{P}(E^c) = 1$ for every event $E \in \Sigma$, where $E^c := S \setminus E$.

It follows that $\mathbb{P}(E) \in [0,1]$ for every $E \in \Sigma$.

Properties of Probability

Theorem

Let (S, Σ, \mathbb{P}) be a probability space.

Then the following hold for all Σ -measurable sets E, E' etc.

1.
$$\mathbb{P}(E) \leq 1$$
 and $\mathbb{P}(E^c) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(E);$

2.
$$\mathbb{P}(E' \cap E^c) = \mathbb{P}(E') - \mathbb{P}(E' \cap E)$$
 and
 $\mathbb{P}(E \cup E') = \mathbb{P}(E) + \mathbb{P}(E') - \mathbb{P}(E \cap E');$

3. for every partition $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^N$ of *S* into pairwise disjoint sets, one has $\mathbb{P}(E) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{P}(E \cap E_n)$;

4.
$$\mathbb{P}(E \cap E') \geq \mathbb{P}(E) + \mathbb{P}(E') - 1.$$

5.
$$\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(E_n).$$

I wo Limiting Properties

Theorem

Let (S, Σ, \mathbb{P}) be a probability space, and $(E_n)_{n=1}^N$ an infinite sequence of Σ -measurable sets.

1. If
$$E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
then $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(E_n)$.

2. If
$$E_n \supseteq E_{n+1}$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
then $\mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(E_n)$.

Proving the Limiting Properties

Proof.

1. Because $E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E_n &=& E_1 \cup [\bigcup_{k=2}^n (E_k \setminus E_{k-1})] \\ \text{and} & \cup_{n=1}^\infty E_n &=& E_1 \cup [\bigcup_{k=2}^\infty (E_k \setminus E_{k-1})] \end{array}$$

where the sets E_1 and $\{E_k \setminus E_{k-1} \mid k = 2, 3, ...\}$ are all pairwise disjoint. Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(E_n) &= \mathbb{P}(E_1) + \sum_{k=2}^n \mathbb{P}(E_k \setminus E_{k-1}) \\ \mathbb{P}(\cup_{n=1}^\infty E_n) &= \mathbb{P}(E_1) + \sum_{k=2}^\infty \mathbb{P}(E_k \setminus E_{k-1}) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\mathbb{P}(E_1) + \sum_{k=2}^n \mathbb{P}(E_k \setminus E_{k-1}) \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(E_n) \end{split}$$

2. Apply part 1 to the complements of the sets E_n .

Conditional Probability: First Definition

Let $E^* \in \Sigma$ be such that $\mathbb{P}(E^*) > 0$. The conditional probability measure on E^* is the mapping

$$\Sigma
i E \mapsto \mathbb{P}(E|E^*) := rac{\mathbb{P}(E \cap E^*)}{\mathbb{P}(E^*)} \in [0,1]$$

The triple $(E^*, \Sigma(E^*), \mathbb{P}(\cdot|E^*))$ with

$$\Sigma(E^*) := \{E \cap E^* \mid E \in \Sigma\} = \{E \in \Sigma \mid E \subset E^*\}$$

is then a conditional probability space given the event E^* .

Conditional Probability: Two Key Properties

Theorem *Provided that* $\mathbb{P}(E) \in (0,1)$ *, one has*

$$\mathbb{P}(E') = \mathbb{P}(E)\mathbb{P}(E'|E) + (1 - \mathbb{P}(E))\mathbb{P}(E'|E^c)$$

Theorem Let $(E_k)_{k=1}^n$ be any finite list of sets in Σ . Provided that $\mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k) > 0$, one has

 $\mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} E_k) = \mathbb{P}(E_1) \mathbb{P}(E_2|E_1) \mathbb{P}(E_3|E_1 \cap E_2) \dots \mathbb{P}(E_n| \cap_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k)$

Independence

The finite or countably infinite family $\{E_k\}_{k \in K}$ of events in Σ is:

pairwise independent

if $\mathbb{P}(E \cap E') = \mathbb{P}(E) \mathbb{P}(E')$ whenever $E \neq E'$;

independent if for any finite subfamily {E_k}ⁿ_{k=1}, one has P(∩ⁿ_{k=1}E_k) = ∏ⁿ_{k=1}P(E_k).

Exercise

Let S be the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$, and \mathbb{P} the probability measure on 2^{S} satisfying $\mathbb{P}(\{s\}) = 1/9$ for all $s \in S$.

Consider the three events

$$E_1 = \{1, 2, 7\}, \ E_2 = \{3, 4, 7\}$$
 and $E_3 = \{5, 6, 7\}$

Are these event pairwise independent? Are they independent?

Exercise

Prove that if $\{E, E'\}$ is independent, then so is $\{E^c, E'\}$.

University of Warwick, EC9A0 Maths for Economists

Peter J. Hammond

Random Variable

The function X : S → ℝ is Σ-measurable just in case for every x ∈ ℝ one has

$$X^{-1}(-\infty,x) := \{s \in S \mid X(s) \le x\} \in \Sigma$$

- A random variable (with values in ℝ) is a Σ-measurable function X : S → ℝ.
- The distribution function or cumulative distribution function (cdf) of X is the mapping F_X : ℝ → [0, 1] defined by

$$x \mapsto F_X(x) = \mathbb{P}(\{s \in S \mid X(s) \le x\})$$

Outline

Measures and Integrals Measurable Spaces

- Measure Spaces
- Lebesgue Integration

Kolmogorov's Definition of Probability

Cumulative Distribution and Density Functions

Expected Values

Limit Theorems

Properties of Distribution Functions

Theorem

The CDF of any random variable $s \mapsto X(s)$ satisfies:

1. $\lim_{x\to -\infty} F_X(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x\to +\infty} F_X(x) = 1$.

2.
$$x \ge x'$$
 implies $F_X(x) \ge F_X(x')$.

3. $\lim_{h\downarrow 0} F_X(x+h) = F_X(x).$

4.
$$\mathbb{P}(\{s \in S \mid X(s) > x\}) = 1 - F_X(x).$$

5.
$$\mathbb{P}(\{s \in S : x < X(s) \le x'\}) = F_X(x') - F_X(x)$$

whenever $x < x'$,

6.
$$\mathbb{P}(\{s \in S : X(s) = x\}) = F_X(x) - \lim_{h \uparrow 0} F_X(x+h).$$

Is it always true that $\lim_{h\uparrow 0} F_X(x+h) = F_X(x)$? CDFs are sometimes said to be càdlàg, which is a French acronym for *continue* à *droite*, *limite* à *gauche* (continuous on the right, limit on the left).

Continuous Random Variable

- A random variable X is
 - 1. continuous if F_X is continuous;
 - 2. absolutely continuous if there exists a density $f_X : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(u) du$
- ► The support of X is the closure of the set on which F_X is strictly increasing.

Example

The uniform distribution on a closed interval [a, b] of \mathbb{R} has density function f and distribution function F given by

$$f_X(x) := rac{1}{b-a} \mathbb{1}_{[a,b]}(x) \quad ext{and} \quad F_X(x) := egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } x < a \ rac{x-a}{b-a} & ext{if } x \in [a,b] \ 1 & ext{if } x > b \end{cases}$$

The Normal or Gaussian Distribution

Example

The standard normal distribution on \mathbb{R} has density function f given by

$$f_X(x) := k e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$$

where $k := 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ is chosen so that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ke^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx = 1$. Its mean and variance are

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} kx e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} dx = \lim_{a \to \infty} \int_{-a}^{+a} kx e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} dx$$

= $\lim_{a \to \infty} \left[-\int_{0}^{a} kx e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} dx + \int_{0}^{a} kx e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} dx \right]$
= 0
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} kx^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} dx = 1$$

The Gaussian Integral, I

Define $I(a) := \int_{-a}^{+a} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx$ for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$I(a)]^{2} = \left(\int_{-a}^{+a} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} dx\right) \left(\int_{-a}^{+a} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^{2}} dy\right)$$
$$= \int_{-a}^{+a} \left(\int_{-a}^{+a} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^{2}} dy\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} dx$$
$$= \int_{-a}^{+a} \int_{-a}^{+a} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^{2}} dx dy$$

Define also

$$J(b) := 2\pi \int_0^b r e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr = 2\pi |_0^b [-e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2}]$$
$$= 2\pi (1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}b^2})$$

Let $S(a) := [-a, a]^2$ denote the solid square subset of \mathbb{R}^2 that is centred at the origin and has sides of length 2a. Let $D(b) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le b^2\}$ denote the disk of radius *b* centred at the origin. University of Warwick, EC9A0 Maths for Economists Peter J. Hammond

The Gaussian Integral, II

Consider the transformation $(r, \theta) \mapsto (x, y) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$ from polar to Cartesian coordinates. The Jacobian matrix of this transformation is

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{vmatrix} = r(\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) = r$$

It follows that changing to polar coordinates in the double integral $\int_{D(b)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2)} dxdy$ transforms it to

$$\int_0^b \int_0^{2\pi} r e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr d\theta = 2\pi \int_0^b r e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} dr = J(b)$$

The Gaussian Integral, III

Note that $D(a) \subset S(a) \subset D(a\sqrt{2})$ and so

$$\int_{D(a)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2)} dx \, dy \leq \int_{S(a)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2)} dx \, dy$$

$$\leq \int_{D(a\sqrt{2})} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2)} dx \, dy$$

One can show that

$$J(a) \leq [I(a)]^2 = \int_{S(a)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x^2+y^2)} dx dy \leq J(a\sqrt{2})$$

and so
$$2\pi(1-e^{-rac{1}{2}a^2}) \leq [I(a)]^2 \leq 2\pi(1-e^{-a^2}).$$

Taking limits as $a \to \infty$ one has $2\pi(1-e^{-rac{1}{2}a^2}) \to 2\pi$
and also $2\pi(1-e^{-a^2}) \to 2\pi$, implying that $[I(a)]^2 \to 2\pi$.

Theorem

The Gaussian integral
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx$$
 equals $\sqrt{2\pi}$

Outline

Measures and Integrals Measurable Spaces

- . Measure Spaces
- Lebesgue Integration

Kolmogorov's Definition of Probability

Cumulative Distribution and Density Functions

Expected Values

Limit Theorems

Expectation

Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be any Borel function, and $x \mapsto f_X(x)$ the density function of the random variable X. Whenever the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)| f_X(x) dx$ exists, the expectation of $g \circ X$ is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}(g(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) f_X(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

Theorem

Let $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:

1.
$$\mathbb{E}(ag_1(X) + bg_2(X) + c) = a\mathbb{E}(g_1(X)) + b\mathbb{E}(g_2(X)) + c.$$

2. If
$$g_1 \ge 0$$
, then $\mathbb{E}(g_1(X)) \ge 0$.

3. If
$$g_1 \ge g_2$$
, then $\mathbb{E}(g_1(X)) \ge \mathbb{E}(g_2(X))$.

: Fix
$$g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$$
 with $\mathbb{E}(g(X)) \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then $\mathbb{P}(g(X) \ge r) \le \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{E}(g(X))$.

Chebychev's Inequality

Theorem

For any random variable $S \ni s \mapsto X(s) \in Z$, fix any measurable function $g : Z \to \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\mathbb{E}[g(X(s))] < +\infty$. Then for all r > 0 one has $\mathbb{P}(g(X) \ge r) \le \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{E}[g(X)]$.

Proof.

The two indicator functions $s \mapsto 1_{g(X) \ge r}(s)$ and $s \mapsto 1_{g(X) < r}(s)$ satisfy $1_{g(X) \ge r}(s) + 1_{g(X) < r}(s) = 1$ for all $s \in S$. Because $g(X(s) \ge 0$ for all $s \in S$, one has

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[g(X)] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{g(X) \ge r}(s) \ g(X(s))] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{g(X) < r}(s) \ g(X(s))] \\ &\geq r \ \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{g(X) \ge r}(s)] = r \ \mathbb{P}(g(X) \ge r) \end{split}$$

Dividing by
$$r$$
 implies that $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{E}[g(X)] \ge \mathbb{P}(g(X) \ge r).$

Moments and Central Moments

For a random variable X:

- its k^{th} (noncentral) moment is $\mathbb{E}(X^k)$;
- ▶ its kth central moment is E((X E(X))^k), assuming that E(X) exists in R.
- its variance, V(x), is its second central moment.

Central Moments of the Gaussian Distribution

Let $m_n := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} kx^n e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} dx$ denote the *n*th central moment of the standard normal distribution.

Because $\frac{d}{dx}e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} = -x e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$, integrating by parts gives

$$m_{n} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} kx^{n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} dx$$

$$= -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} kx^{n-1} \left(\frac{d}{dx} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}}\right) dx$$

$$= -|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} kx^{n-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} kn - 1x^{n-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}} dx$$

$$= (n-1)m_{n-2}$$

So $m_{2r-1} = 0$ for odd integers, whereas for even integers

$$m_{2r} = (2r-1)(2r-3)\cdots 5\cdot 3\cdot 1$$

= $\frac{2r(2r-1)(2r-2)(2r-3)\cdots 5\cdot 4\cdot 3\cdot 2\cdot 1}{2r(2r-2)(2r-4)\cdots 6\cdot 4\cdot 2} = \frac{(2r)!}{2^r r!}$

Multiple Random Variables

Let $S \ni s \mapsto \mathbf{X}(s) = (X_n(s))_{n=1}^N$ be an *N*-dimensional vector of random variables defined on the probability space (S, Σ, \mathbb{P}) .

Its joint distribution function is the mapping defined by

$$\mathbb{R}^N \ni \mathbf{x} \mapsto F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{P}(\{s \in S \mid \mathbf{X}(s) \leq \mathbf{x}\})$$

► The random vector X is absolutely continuous just in case there exists a density function f_X : ℝ^N → ℝ₊ such that

$$F_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{x}} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}$$

Independent Random Variables

Let X be an N-dimensional vector valued random variable.

If X is absolutely continuous,

the marginal density $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto f_{X_n}(x)$ of its *n*th component X_n is defined as the N - 1-dimensional iterated integral

$$f_{X_n}(x) = \int \cdots \int f_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_N) dx_1 \ldots dx_N$$

- The *N* components of **X** are independent just in case $f_{\mathbf{X}} = \prod_{n=1}^{N} f_{X_n}$.
- The infinite sequence (X_n)[∞]_{n=1} of random variables is independent just in case every finite subsequence (X_n)_{n∈K} (K finite) is independent.

Expectations

Let **X** be an *N*-dimensional vector valued random variable, and $g: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ a measurable function.

The expectation of $g(\mathbf{X})$ is defined as the N-dimensional integral

$$\mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{X})] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\mathbf{u}) f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}$$

Theorem

If the collection $(X_n)_{n=1}^N$ of random variables is independent, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{n=1}^{N} X_n\right] = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}(X_n)$$

Exercise

Prove that if the pair (X_1, X_2) of r.v.s is independent, then its covariance satisfies

$$\mathsf{Cov}(X_1,X_2) := \mathbb{E}[(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])(X_2 - \mathbb{E}[X_2])] = 0$$

Marginal and Conditional Density

Fix the pair (X_1, X_2) of random variables.

• The marginal density of X_1 is

$$f_{X_1}(x_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{(X_1,X_2)}(x_1,x_2) dx_2.$$

At points x₁ where f_{X₁}(x₁) > 0, the conditional density of X₂ given that X₁ = x₁ is

$$f_{X_2|X_1}(x_2|x_1) = \frac{f_{(X_1,X_2)}(x_1,x_2)}{f_{X_1}(x_1)}$$

Theorem

If the pair (X_1, X_2) is independent and $f_{X_1}(x_1) > 0$, then

$$f_{X_2|X_1}(x_2|x_1) = f_{X_2}(x_2)$$

Conditional Expectations

Fix the pair (X_1, X_2) of random variables.

• The conditional expectation of $g(X_2)$ given that $X_1 = x_1$ is

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X_2)|X_1=x_1] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x_2) f_{X_2|X_1}(x_2|x_1) dx_2.$$

► Given any measurable function (x₁, x₂) → g(x₁, x₂), the law of iterated expectations states that

$$\mathbb{E}_{f_{(X_1,X_2)}}[g((X_1,X_2)(s))] = \mathbb{E}_{f_{X_1}}[\mathbb{E}_{f_{X_2|X_1}}[g((X_1,X_2)(s))]]$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{f_{(X_1,X_2)}}[g] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(x_1,x_2) \ f_{(X_1,X_2)}(x_1,x_2) \ dx_1 \ dx_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x_1,x_2) \ f_{X_2|X_1}(x_2|x_1) \ dx_2 \right] \ f_{X_1}(x_1) \ dx_1 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{f_{X_1}}[\mathbb{E}_{f_{X_2|X_1}}g(x_1,x_2)]] \end{split}$$

Outline

Measures and Integrals

- Measure Spaces
- Lebesgue Integration

Kolmogorov's Definition of Probability

Cumulative Distribution and Density Functions

Expected Values

Limit Theorems

Convergence of Random Variables

The sequence $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of random variables:

• converges in probability to X (written as $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$) just in case, for all $\epsilon > 0$ one has

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}(|X_n-X|<\epsilon)=1.$$

• converges in distribution to X (written as $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$) just in case, for all x at which F_X is continuous,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}F_{X_n}(x)=F_X(x).$$

The Law of Large Numbers

- The sequence $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of random variables is i.i.d.
 - i.e., independently and identically distributed
 - just in case
 - 1. it is independent, and
 - 2. for every Borel set $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, one has $\mathbb{P}(X_n \in D) = \mathbb{P}(X_{n'} \in D)$.
- The weak law of large numbers:
 - Let $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be i.i.d. with $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \mu$. Define the sequence

$$(\bar{X}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} := \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n X_k\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

of sample means. Then, $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$.

The Meaning of Probability

Prove the following:

Let $\gamma = p(X \in \Omega) \in (0, 1)$. Consider the following experiment: "*n* realizations of X are taken independently."

Let G_n be the relative frequency with which a realization in Ω is obtained in the experiment. Then, $G_n \xrightarrow{p} \gamma$.

The Central Limit Theorem

The central limit theorem:

Let $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be i.i.d. random variables with $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = \mu$ and $V(X_n) = \sigma^2$. Then,

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma} \stackrel{d}{\to} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} \mathrm{d}u.$$

The Fundamental Theorems

Let $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be i.i.d., with $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = \mu$ and $\mathbf{V}(X_n) = \sigma^2$. Then:

by the law of large numbers,

$$\bar{X}_n \stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} \mu;$$

SO

$$\bar{X}_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \mu;$$

but by the central limit theorem,

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{(\sigma/\sqrt{n})} \stackrel{d}{\to} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} \mathsf{d}u.$$